

Production Nozzle-Less Electrospinning Nanofiber Technology

Stanislav Petrik and Miroslav Maly
Elmarco s.r.o.
V Horkach 76/18, CZ-46007 Liberec, Czech Republic

ABSTRACT

The theoretical background and technical capabilities of the free liquid surface (nozzle-less) electrospinning process is described. The process is the basis of both laboratory and industrial production machines known as NanospiderTM and developed by Elmarco s.r.o. Technical capabilities of the machines (productivity, nanofiber layer metrics, and quality) are described in detail.

Comparison with competing/complementary technologies is given, e.g. nozzle electrospinning, nano-meltblown, and islets-in-the sea. Application fields for nanofiber materials produced by various methods are discussed. Consistency of the technology performance and production capabilities are demonstrated using an example of polyamide nanofiber air filter media.

INTRODUCTION

Electrospinning methods for creating nanofibers from polymer solutions have been known for decades [1, 2]. The nozzle-less (free liquid surface) technology opened new economically viable possibilities to produce nanofiber layers in a mass industrial scale, and was developed in the past decade [3]. Hundreds of laboratories are currently active in the research of electrospinning process, nanofiber materials, and their applications. Nanofiber nonwoven-structured layers are ideal for creating novel composite materials by combining them with usual nonwovens. The most developed application of this kind of materials is air filtration [4]. liquid filters and separators are being developed intensively with very encouraging results. Also well known are several bio-medical applications utilizing nanofiber materials, often from biocompatible/degradable polymers like PLA, gelatine, collagen, chitosan. These developing applications include wound care, skin-, vessel-, bone- scaffolds, drug delivery systems and many others. [3, 5]. Inorganic/ceramic nanofibers attract growing interest as materials for energy generation and storage (solar and fuel cells, batteries), and catalytic materials [6-10].

To fully explore the extraordinary number of application opportunities of nanofibers, the availability of reliable industrial-level production technology is essential. This paper intends to demonstrate that the technology has matured to this stage.

THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

The electrospinning process is an interesting and well-characterized physical phenomenon and has been an attractive subject for theoretical investigations of several groups [9, 11-17, 1, 2]. Most work concentrates on the essentials of the process – the nanofiber formation from a liquid polymer jet in a (longitudinal) electric field. It has been theoretically described and experimentally proven that the dominant mechanism is whipping elongation occurring due to bending instability [13, 16, 17]. Secondary splitting of the liquid polymer streams can occur also [1], but the final thinning process is elongation.

In Figure 1, the schematic of bending mechanism derived from physical model (a) is compared with a stroboscopic snapshot (b) [18].




Figure 1. The path of an electrospinning jet (a – schematic, b – stroboscopic photograph).
(Courtesy of Darrell Reneker, University of Akron)

A comprehensive analysis (electrohydrodynamic model) of the fiber formation mechanisms published by Hohman et al. [16, 17] describes the regions of individual kinds of instability observed during the process. It has predicted and experimentally proven that there is a domain of the process variables where bending instability dominates, as illustrated in Figure 2.




Figure 2. Operating diagram for a PEO jet. The upper shaded region shows the onset of the whipping instability, the lower one shows the onset of the varicose instability [17].

The efforts to scale up the electrospinning technology to an industrial production level used to be based on multiplication of the jets using multi-nozzle constructions [1]. However, the number of jets needed to reach economically acceptable productivity is very high, typically thousands. This brings into play many challenging task, generally related to reliability, quality consistency, and machine maintenance (especially cleaning). The nozzle-less electrospinning solves most of these problems due to its mechanical simplicity, however, the process itself is more complex because of its spontaneous multi-jet nature. The Lukas' et al. [19] study focused on the process of multi-jet generation from a free liquid surface in an electric field. They showed that the process can be analyzed using Euler's equations for liquid surface waves

$$\nabla \left(\rho \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} + p \right) = 0 \quad (1)$$

where Φ is the scalar velocity potential, p is the hydrostatic pressure, and ρ is the liquid density. They derived the dispersion law for the waves in the form

$$\omega^2 = (\rho g + \gamma k^2 - \epsilon E_0^2 k) \frac{k}{\rho} \quad (2)$$

where E_0 is electric field strength, γ – surface tension.

The relationship between angular frequency ω and wave number k is in Figure 3, electric field is the parameter. When a critical electric field intensity is reached (E_c , curve 1), ω^2 is turned to be negative, ω is then a purely imaginary value, and hence, the amplitude of the liquid surface wave

$$\xi = Ae^{qt} \exp(ikx) \quad (3)$$

exponentially grows, which leads to an instability.




Figure 3. Relationship between the square of the angular frequency and the wave number for distilled water, electric field is the parameter 1: $E=E_c=2.461\ 945\ 094 \times 10^6$ V/m, 2: $E=2.4 \times 10^6$ V/m, and 3: $E=2.5 \times 10^6$ V/m [19]

Critical field strength can then be expressed

$$E_c = \sqrt[4]{4\gamma\rho g/\varepsilon^2} \quad (4)$$

From this equation, they derived the expression for the critical spatial period („wavelength“) – the average distance between individual jets emerging from the liquid surface (Figure 4).

$$\lambda_c = 2\pi/k_c = 2\pi a \quad (5)$$

and

$$\lambda = 12\pi\gamma/[2\varepsilon E_0^2 + \sqrt{(2\varepsilon E_0^2)^2 - 12\gamma\rho g}] \quad (6)$$

a is the capillary length

$$a = \sqrt{\gamma/\rho g} \quad (7)$$




Figure 4. Free liquid surface electrospinning of Polyvinylalcohol at 32 kV (a) and 43 kV (b)
(Courtesy of David Lukas, Technical University of Liberec)

TECHNICAL REALIZATION AND DISCUSSION

Description of the nozzle-less technology

The simplest realization of the nozzle-less electrospinning head is in Figure 5. A rotating drum is dipped into a bath of liquid polymer. The thin layer of polymer is carried on the drum surface and exposed to a high voltage electric field. If the voltage exceeds the critical value (4), a number of electrospinning jets are generated. The jets are distributed over the electrode surface with periodicity given by equation (6). This is one of the main advantages of nozzle-less electrospinning: the number and location of the jets is set up naturally in their optimal positions. In the case of multi-needle spinning heads, the jet distribution is made artificially. The mismatch between “natural” jet distribution and the real mechanical structure leads to instabilities in the process, and to the production of nanofiber layers which are not homogenous.

Several types of rotating electrodes for free liquid surface electrospinning for industrial machines have been developed (Figure 5b). However, the drum type is still one of the most productive.




Figure 5. Free liquid surface electrospinning from a rotating electrode (a) and various types of spinning electrodes (b)

Table 1. Comparison of Nozzle vs. Nozzle-Less Electrospinning

Production variable	Nozzle	Nozzle-Less
Mechanism	Needle forces polymer downwards. Drips and issues deposited in web.	Polymer is held in bath, even distribution is maintained on electrode via rotation.
Hydrostatic pressure	Production variable – required to be kept level across all needles in process.	None.
Voltage	5 – 20 kV	30 – 120 kV
Taylor cone separation	Defined mechanically by needle distances.	Nature self-optimizes distance between Taylor cones (Eq. (6)).
Polymer concentration	Often 10% of solution.	Often 20% or more of solution.
Fiber diameters	80, 100, 150, 200, 250 and higher. Standard deviation likely to vary over fiber length.	80, 100, 150, 200, 250 and higher. Standard deviation of +/- 30%.

Table 2. Nanofiber Production Methods

	Extrusion		Electrospinning	
Sub-type	Fine hole	Islets-in-the-sea	Nozzle	Nozzle-Less
Description	Fine fiber meltblown process, melted polymer is forced through small holes	Polymer blends are extruded through thicker holes, then separated afterwards, often hydro-entangled	Solvent polymers are forced through a needle and formed through an electrostatic field	Electrostatic field is used to form fibers but is formed without needles using higher voltage on roller-electrodes
Voltage	n/a	n/a	5 – 20 kV	30 – 120 kV
Fiber size (nm)	800 – 2,500 s = +/- 200%	800 – 2,500 s = +/- 200%	?? – 500 s = Varies	80 – 500 s = +/- 30%
Hydrostatic pressure	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Production ready?	Yes	Yes	No	Yes

Research and development centers are very active in their efforts to further improve productivity of the manufacturing process. Novel methods for the production of sub-micron fibers are being developed. The most advanced methods (“Fine Hole” meltblown and “Islets-in-the-sea”) are compared with the two current electrospinning approaches in Table 2. The individual methods can be considered to be complementary rather than competing. This is especially true with respect to the fiber diameter distribution and fiber layer uniformity. Individual methods will likely find different areas of application. More productive Nano-meltblown and Islets-in-the-sea technologies compromise fiber diameter and homogeneity and will likely be used in production cost sensitive applications like hygiene nonwovens, while high quality electrospun technologies will be used in products where their high added value and need for low amounts of the material can be easily implemented (air and liquid filtration, biomedicine).

The nozzle-less principle using rotating electrodes has been developed into a commercially available industrial scale. A photograph of a modular Nanospider™ machine is in Figure 6.



Figure 6. Nozzle-less production electrospinning line (Nanospider™)

Performance of the technology

In addition to productivity (or throughput) of the production line, individual industrial applications require certain production consistency. We will illustrate the nozzle-less electrospinning technology performance with the example of air filtration media composed of a regular cellulose substrate and a thin nanofiber layer made from Polyamide 6. The product can be characterized by a number of parameters, like fiber diameter distribution (mean value and its standard deviation), basis weight of the nanofiber layer, etc. For the particular application, functional product parameters are more important. Typical values are the initial gravimetric filtration efficiency (IGE), differential filtration efficiency, and pressure drop, measured according to the norms widely accepted within industry.

The correlation between nanofiber diameter and basis weight of the nanofiber layer with differential filtration efficiency is illustrated in Figure 7. To obtain various basis weights, substrate speed was varied from 0.2 m/min to 4 m/min for each series of samples. Polymer solution parameters (concentration, etc.) together with electric field intensity determine the range of nanofiber diameter. Nanofiber diameter distribution has been measured using a scanning electron microscope (SEM). Basis weight values were obtained either by using an analytical scale Mettler (higher values), or by extrapolation from its known dependence on substrate velocity (lower ones).





Figure 7. Filtration efficiency of nanofiber media samples

Table 3. Properties of nanofiber filtration media samples shown in Figure 7

Pressure drop for various coatings at 32 ft/min face velocity (equivalent to 490 ft/min based on pleated filter)						
<i>Substrate</i>	<i>Basis Weight</i>	<i>NF Diameter</i>	<i>Filtration Efficiency</i>		<i>Pressure Drop</i>	
	(g/m ²)	(nm)	at 0.35 micron particle size (%)	D vs. uncoated substrate	(mm of H ₂ O)	D vs. uncoated substrate
Cellulose	No coating	n/a	11	n/a	15.24	n/a
Cellulose	0.03	200	23	108%	17.53	15%
Cellulose	0.05	200	50	357%	19.30	27%
Cellulose	0.10	200	70	545%	24.13	58%
Cellulose	0.03	100	44	298%	18.80	23%
Cellulose	0.05	100	81	644%	22.61	48%
Cellulose	0.10	100	95	766%	29.21	92%

Pressure drop and initial gravimetric filtration efficiency have been chosen as representative product parameters. They were measured using NaCl aerosol at the following settings: air flow speed: 5 m/min, sample area 100 cm², flow rate 50 l/min.

In Figure 8, results of long-term stability and reproducibility of the IGE are presented. It can be seen that the individual runs differ within the standard deviation of the process, and the mean value of the filtration efficiency does not exhibit any significant shift after 16 hours of machine run. Similar consistency is shown in the value of the basis weight of the nanofiber layer, shown in Figure 9.

**Figure 8.** Stability of initial gravimetric filtration efficiency of the media produced at the industrial nozzle-less electrospinning equipment




Figure 9. Consistency of nanofiber layer basis weight produced with industrial nozzle-less electrospinning equipment

The third important parameter of the filtration media is its homogeneity across the width of the roll. The data for the 1.6 meter wide roll produced with the machine in Figure 6 are shown in the graph in Figure 10. Pressure drop was measured in 10 evenly distributed points at a cross section of the substrate belt.




Figure 10. Transversal homogeneity of the filtration media (1.6 m width, dP = pressure drop)

Production capacity of the industrial electrospinning line for Polyamide 6 is illustrated in Figure 11.




Figure 11. Production capacity of the nozzle-less electrospinning line with Polyamide 6

CONCLUSION

High-quality low-cost production of nanofiber layers is essential to support the enormous amount of research results being obtained at many universities and research centers. The described nozzle-less electrospinning technology has matured to a level where large scale production use is common, and can be modified for practically all known polymers soluble in organic solvents and water, as well as for polymer melts. This opens commercial opportunities for hundreds of ideas developed in the academic sphere.

REFERENCES

1. V. Kirichenko, Y. Filatov, A. Budyka, *Electrospinning of Micro- and Nanofibers: Fundamentals in Separation and Filtration Processes*, Begell House, USA (2007)
2. S. Ramakrishna, K. Fujihara, W. Teo, T. Lim, and Z. Ma, *An Introduction to Electrospinning and Nanofibers*, World Scientific, Singapore (2005)
3. Jirsak, O., Sanetnik, F., Lukas, D., Kotek, V., Martinova, L., Chaloupek, J., "A method of nanofibers production from a polymer solution using electrostatic spinning and a device for carrying out the method", The Patent Cooperation Treaty WO 2005/024101, (2005)
4. T. Jaroszczyk, S. Petrik, K. Donahue, "The Role of Nanofiber Filter Media in Motor Vehicle Air Filtration", 4th Biennial Conference on Emissions Solutions in Transportation, AFS, Ann Arbor, MI, Oct 5-8 (2009)

5. Proceedings of „Nanofibers for the 3rd Millenium – Nano for Life“ Conference, Prague, March 11-12 (2009)
6. L. Kavan, M. Grätzel, *Electrochem. Solid-State Lett.*, **5**, A39, (2002)
7. J. Duchoslav, L. Rubacek, *Electrospun TiO₂ Fibers as a Material for Dye Sensitized Solar Cells*, In: Proc. of NSTI Nanotech Conference, Boston, MA, June 1-5 (2008)
8. L. Rubacek, J. Duchoslav, *Electrospun Nanofiber Layers for Applications in Electrochemical Devices*, In: Proc. of NSTI Nanotech Conference, Boston, MA, June 1-5 (2008)
9. M. Bognitzki, W. Czado, T. Frese, A. Schaper, M. Hellwig, M. Steinhard, A. Greiner and J. H. Wendorff, *Adv. Mater.* **13**, 70 (2001)
10. H. Guan, C. Shao, S. Wen, B. Chen, J. Gong, X. Yang, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* **6**, 1302 (2003)
11. G. F. Taylor and M. D. Van Dyke, *Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A* **313**, 453 (1969)
12. J. Doshi and D. H. Reneker, “Electrospinning process and applications of electrospun fibers,” *J. Electrostat.* **35**, 151 (1995)
13. C.J. Thompson, G.G. Chase, A.L. Yarin and D.H. Reneker, „Effects of parameters on nanofiber diameter determined from electrospinning model“, *Polymer*, **48**, 6913 (2007).
14. Y. M. Shin, M. M. Hohman, M. P. Brenner and G. C. Rutledge ‘Electrospinning: A whipping fluid jet generates submicron polymer fibers, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **78**, 1149 (2001)
15. J. H. Yu, S. V. Fridrikh and G. C. Rutledge, The role of elasticity in the formation of electrospun fibers, *Polymer* **47**, 4789 (2006)
16. M. M. Hohman, M. Shin, G. C. Rutledge and M. P. Brenner, Electrospinning and electrically forced jets. I. Stability theory, *Phys. Fluids* **13**, 2201 (2001)
17. M. M. Hohman, M. Shin, G. C. Rutledge and M. P. Brenner, Electrospinning and electrically forced jets. II. Applications, *Phys. Fluids* **13**, 2221 (2001)
18. D. Reneker, Personal communication (2009)
19. D. Lukas, A. Sarkar and P. Pokorny, *J. Appl. Phys.* **103**, 084309 (2008)